ALLYLIC STEREOCENTER DIRECTED ASYMMETRIC CONJUGATE ADDITION OF CUPRATES IN THE PRESENCE OF TRIMETHYLCHLOROSILANE. ENANTIOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF 2-ALKYL-4-BENZYLOXYBUTANAL AND 2-ALKYT,-4-OXOPENTANAL.

Silvia Cardanl, Giovanni Poll, Carlo Scolastlco*, Roberto Villa

Dipartinento di Chlmlca Organica e Industrlalc, Universlta' di Milano, Centro CNR dl Studio dellc Sostanze Organiche Naturall, Via Venezian 21, 20133 Mllano, Italy

(Receioed in *UK* 1 July **1988)**

Abstract: Cupratc reagents In the presence of trlmethylchlorosllane add with excellent *IT-face selectivity and yield to A, P-unsaturated ketone and* **aldehyde bearing in ~-position** a **masked aldehyde represented by the C-2 of a norephedrine-derived oxazolldlne. The title compounds are obtained in high enantlomerlc excess after reroval of the chiral auxiliary and** of **the protective group.**

We already reported lr2 on the cyclization between the N-CBZ-norephedrine 3 and a series of **dixethylacetale (e.g. 1) in the presence of pyrldlnlum toeylate as acidic catalyst, to give the 2-alkenyloxazolidlne 2 with a constant preference** for **the cis-Isomer (Fig 1). Cuprate reagent conjugate additions to 2 occurred with** excellent regio and stercoselectivity (diastereomeric ratio >95:5).^{1,2}

FIGURE 1

CBZ = Carbobenzyloxy

As the concluding study regarding p-face differentiation induced by a norephedrine-derived oxazolidlne, we examine conjugate addition of cuprate reagents to unsaturated aldehyde 5 and ketone 6.

The d_n -unsaturated aldehyde 5^3 can be easily prepared on a large scale from one **of the two commercially available N-CBZ-norephedrine enantiomere (1-e. lR.23) and funaraldehyde biedirethylacetal in the presence** of **pyridiniw toeylate in benzene** solution, followed by treatment with Amberlyst-15 in aqueous-acetone (Scheme 1).⁴ **The&P-unsaturated ketone 6 is obtained from 5 through condensation with methyl magnesium iodide and eubeeguent oxidation with pyridlnium dlchromate (Scheme 1).**

SCHEME 1 CBZ CBZ MeO MeO ⁻ H_N - OMe MeO MeO OMe HO Ω Ph 'n 4 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ CBZ CBZ ł OHC N 1. MeMgI 2. PDC Ó x, $\boldsymbol{6}$ 5

 $R = H$, Me

The results of the R_{α} CuLi additions to oxazolidines 5 and 6 are collected in Table I. When the reaction was performed without TMSCl (trimethylchlorosilane) (entry 1,3 and 5, Table I), we observed prevalently $1,2$ -cuprate addition and aldehyde (or ketone) autocondensation products. These undesidered products can be minimized by trapping the Intermediate enolates as silylenol ethers. Infact, the best results were obtained when the reactions were carried out in THF in the presence of TMSCl (Entry 2,4,6 and 7, Table I). This behaviour was In accordance to

the observation that the reaction between TMSCl and \mathtt{R}_2 CuLi is very slow, while the 1,4-addition of R2CuLi to an enal **or** to an enone and 0-silylation of the resulting enolates **are** fast processes and **occur** cleanly and with high yield. 5a-e.6 The configuration of the adducts 7a-b and 8a-b has been determined transforming the aldehyde adduct 7a-b into the corresponding methylketone 8a-b (l.MeMgI 2.PDC) identical to the compound obtained by the direct addition of cuprate to λ_r ²-unsaturated ketone 6 (Scheme 2).

Aldehyde oxazolidine 7a-b has in turn been transformed into the corresponding methylester 9a-b (l.Ag₂0; 2.CH₂N₂)of known absolute configuration (Figure 3).^{1,2}

FIGURE 3

Although the **mechanism of cuprate reagents conjugate addition is still an open question, convincing** recent evidence shows the reversible formation of a cuprate-substrate $(d-\mathcal{T}^{\star})$ complex and a Cu^{III} β -adduct in the initial step.^{5a,7,8} However, the presence of THSCl in the reaction **medium can Irreversibly trap the latter intermediate thereby shifting the** rate determining step from the reductive elimination level to the earlier d, $\pi\star$ complexation stage. 5a

Analogously to the already mentioned $1,2$ oxazolidine 4, cuprate additions to oxazolidine 5 and 6 always **occurred** from the substrate si face; moreover the same selectivity was observed regardless of the **presence or absence of TMSCl. It follows** that the postulated kinetic β -adduct must be the more accessible $(d-\gamma^*$ complexation

SCHEME 4

as r.d.s.) or the faster reacting diastereomer (reductive-elimination as r.d.s.).⁹ Accordingly, the stereochemical outcome of these additions can be rationalized

using the transition structure models A and B (Flg.2). The very electronegative allylic substituent (oxygen) is aligned "anti" to the forming bond in both A and B, so that the withdrawal of electrons from the N -system can be maximized (Felkin-Anh model).^{10a} When the $\sigma^{\star}_{\rm c=0}$ orbital is aligned "anti" to the forming bond, its overlap with the HOMO of the transition state, consisting of a mixture of the nucleophile **HOMO** and the electrophile LUMO, is increased, and stabilization is maximized. 10b A Is favored over **B,** apparently for steric reasons. l-3,5e

For synthetic purposes , adduct 7a-b was transformed Into the corresponding alcohol and protected as 0-benzylderivatlve lOa-b . A straightforward non destructive removal of the chiral auxiliary, performed by treating lOa-b with HSCH₂CH₂SH/BF₃.Et₂0 in CH₂Cl₂, smoothly released the intact auxiliary 1 together with the corresponding dithiolane lla-b. The dithiolane lla-b was purified by flash chromatography and submitted to standard thioacetal hydrolysis (CaCO₃/MeI/H₂O/Me₂CO) to give the known chiron (S)-2-methyl-4-benzyloxybutanal 12a (e.e.)0.99) and (S)-Z-n-butyl-4-benzyloxybutanal 12b (Scheme 3). 12b has been proved to be optically pure by reduction of aldehydic function and ${}^{1}H$ and 19 F NMR analysis of the corresponding Mosher's ester 15 (Scheme 5).¹¹

The useful chiron 12a was already prepared by C.J.Slh and coworkers by chemical degradation of $(R)-(+)$ -Pulegone or by enzymatic methods.¹²

Similarly, the oxazolidine Ba-b was submitted to the standard deprotection $\text{protocol}(1. HSCH_2CH_2SH/BF_2Et_20 \quad 2. CaCo_2/MeI/H_20/Me_2CO) \text{ affording}, \text{respectively},$ 2-methyl-4-oxopentanal 14a and 2-n-butyl-4-oxopentanal 14b In high chemical and optical yields (Scheme 4).

Unfortunately, when the Michael acceptors are trlsubstituted, such as compounds 16a and 16b, any attempt of conjugate addition (R₂CuLi, RCu.BF₃ in ET₂0 or in THF, -78° /+25°C, also in the presence of TMSCl, 1-18h) fail.

FIGURE 4

 $a \cdot R = \Omega M e$

16a,b

In conclusion, the addition of cuprate reagents to oxazolldines 5 and 6 occurs with excellent and predictable π -face selectivity which has been rationalized on the basis of experimental evidence as well as MO considerations.

The adducts obtained from the conjugate additions can be transformed into 2-alkyl-4-benzyloxybutanal and 2-alkyl-4-oxopentanal in high e.e. % by a simple procedure that allows the recovering of the intact chiral auxiliary.

This approach through 2-alkenyloxazolidines, included λ , β unsaturated ester 2 1,2 , constitutes a general and mild procedure for the preparation of the **very** sensitive Δ -alkyl aldehydes bearing in γ position a keto, or a carboalkoxy group, or a precursor of another aldehyde group such as the benzyloxy group.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

lH-NMR spectra were recorded with XL-200 or a Bruker WP-SO, while "C-NHR spectra were recorded with a Varian XL-200 instrument in the FT mode with tetramethylsilane **as** internal standard. Optical rotations **were** measured in a 1-dm cells of l-ml capacity by using a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 457 spectrophotometer. Silica gel 60 F_{osa} plates (Merck) were used for analytical TLC; 270-400 mesh silica gel **(Merck)** forl?fash chromatography. Organic extracts were dried over $\mathtt{Na_2SO_4}$ and filtered before removal of the solvent under reduced pressure. "Dry" solvefits^twere distilled under N₂ just before use: tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether were distilled from södium metal in the presence of benzophenone; C₆H₆ from sodium metal, CH₂Cl₂ from CaH₂. All reaction employing dry solvents were^vrün under a nitrogen (fröm fiquid N₂) atmosphere.

Synthesis of N-Carbobenzyloxy-norephedrine 3.

N-CBZ-norephedrine 3 was obtained by using (lR,ZS)-norephedrine and carbobenzyloxy chloride under standard Schotten-Baumann conditions. The crude product was used without furtheg purification in the subsequent cyclization. Yield 98%; mp 111-113 C; $\lceil d \rceil_2^{3^2} = -38.7^{\circ}$ (c=1.5, CHC1₃); 1H-NMR (CDC1₃) δ : 1.05 (3H, d, J=6.9 Hz); 1.53 (1H. bs); 2.50-3.10 (lH, m); 3.90-4.40 (lH, 8); 4.90 (lH, d, J=3.2 Hz); 5.13 (2 H, s); 7.35 (10H, s). IR (CHCl₃) \cal{Y} :3610, 3460, 1710, 1500, 1450 cm⁻¹. (Found C,71.8; H,6.79; N,5.00; C₁₇H₁₉NO₃ requires C,71.6; H,6.71; N,4.91).

Svnthesis of oxazolidine 5.

A solution of fumaraldehyde bisdimethylacetal (440 mg, 2.5 mmol) in dry benzene (10 ml) was treated with N-CBZ-(lR,ZS)-norephedrine (285 mg, 1.0 mmol) **and pyridinium** tosylate (75 mg, 0.3 mmol).

The mixture was refluxed **for** 40 minutes placing a bypassed dropping funnel filled with 4 A molecular sieves between the flask and the reflux condenser. The mixture was cooled, the solvent evaporated under reduced **pressure and** the exceeding fumaraldehyde bisdimethylacetal was recovered by distillation (b.p.=35 $C/0.2$ mm Hg). The oxazolidine 4 was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 80/20) (overall yield 85%). The dimethyl acetal was dissolved in acetone-water (54:l; 10
ml) and treated with Amberlyst-15 (9lmg). '' After stirring for 3 hours at room temperature the resin was filtered off-and the solvent **evaporated under reduced** pressure to give 5 in 85% yield.

 $5: \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}}^{25}$ =-93.3⁰ (c=1.03; CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃) \mathcal{V} : 1695, 1415, 1355, 1340 cm⁻¹.¹H-NMR $(CDC1₃)$ δ : 0.80 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz); 4.38 (1H, dq, J=6.7, 5.2 Hz); 5.17 (2H, s); 5.20 (1H, d, J-5.2 Hz); 5.79 (1H, d, J=4.7 Hz); 6.28-7.00 (2 H, m); 7.30 (10H, s); 9.62 (1H, d, J=8.0 Hz). 13 C-NMR (CDC1₃) selected data δ : 16.1; 55.9; 67.4; 82.0; 85.8; 153.1; 193.1 (Found C,71.82; H,6.06; N,3.99; C₂₁H₂₁NO₄ requires C,71.78; H,6.02; N.3.98).

Synthesis of ketone 6

Aldehyde 5 (351 mg, 1 mmol), was dissolved in dry Et₂0 (5 ml) and treated with
2.1 ml of a 0.95 M solution of MeMgI in Et₂0 at 0^oC. After 20 min the reaction was
quenched with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution. T cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDC1₃) δ : 0.83 (3H, d, J=7.3 Hz); 2.27 (3H, s); 4.36 (1H, dq, J=7.3, 2.6 Hz); 5.17 (2H, s); 5.19 (1H, d, J=2.6 Hz); 5.69 (1H, d, J=4.7 Hz); 6.40 (1H, d, J=16.0 Hz); 6.77 (1H, dd, J=16.0 Hz); 7.35 (10 H, s). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃) selected data δ : 16.1; 27.4; 55.9; 67.4; 81.9; 86.4; 153.1; 198.1. (Found C,72.31; H6.39; N, 3.89; $C_{22}H_{23}NO_A$ requires C, 72.31; H, 6.34; N, 3.83).

Preparation of R₂CuLi species.

Me₂CuLi was prepared by MeLi (2 mmol, 1.5 M in Et₂0) addition to a suspension of CuI (1.0 mmol) in dry THF or Et₂0 (10 ml) at 0^oC and subsequent stirring for 10 min. Bu₂CuLi was prepared by BuLi addition (2 mmol, 1.5 M in hexane) to a suspension of CuI (1.0 mmol) in dry THF or Et₂0 (10 ml) at -25⁰ C and subsequent stirring for 10 min.

Cuprate additions to oxazolidines 5 and 6. General procedure.

A solution of R₂CuLi (1.0 mmol) (obtained as above reported) at -78[°]C was treated
with Me₃SiCl (5²mmol) and the appropriate oxazolidine 5 or 6 (1 mmol) dissolved in
dry THF³(10 ml). After 30 min at -78[°]C the m 7.0 Hz); 1.20 (3H, d, J=7.0 Hz); 2.30-2.70 (2H, m); 2.70-3.10 (1H, m); 4.10-4.50 (1H, m); 5.05 (1H, d, J=6.0 Hz); 5.13 (1H, d, J=2.7 Hz); 5.18 (2H, s); 7.30 (5H, s); 7.39 (5H, s). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃) selected data: δ : 16.3; 30.8; 44.2; 56.5; 67.1; 80.6; 92.1; 154.2; 202.0. (Found C, 71.95; H, 6.89; N, 3.84; C₂₂H₂₅NO₄ requires $C, 71.91; H, 6.86; N, 3.81).$

The Flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 85/15). Ld $J_b^{25} = -46.7^0$ (c=0.750; CHCl₃).
IR(CHCl₃) $\sqrt{$: 1725, 1700, 1605, 1495, 1410, 1350 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) $\sqrt{$: 0.65-1.00 $(5H, m)$; 1.00-1.80 (7H, m); 2.20-2.70 (2H, m); 2.70-3.00 (1H, m); 4.10-4.50 (1H, m); 5.03 (1H, d, J=6.2 Hz); 5.18 (2H,s); 5.22 (1H, d, J=2.2 Hz); 7.30 (5H, s); 7.39 (5H, s); 9.73 (1H, dd, J=2.0, 2.9 Hz). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃) selected data: δ : 14.0; 16.4; 22.7; 29.4; 30.9; 35.4; 42.8; 56.5; 67.2; 80.8; 90.9; 154.2; 203.0. (Found C.73.28; H.7.60; N.3.38; C₂₅H₃₁NO₄ requires C.73.32; H.7.63; N.3.42). <u>Ba</u>: Flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 80/20). Ld J_6^{25} =-71.1⁰ (c=1.40; CHCl₃). IR
(CHCl₃) γ : 1700, 1605, 1495, 1410, 1355, 1345 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 0.79 (3H, d, $J=7.2$ Hz); 1.08 (3H, d, J=7.2 Hz); 2.11 (3H, s); 2.15-2.75 (2H, m); 2.70-3.15 (1H, m); 4.20-4.50 (1H, m); 5.00 (1H, d, J=6.0 Hz); 5.11 (1H, d, J=3.0 Hz); 5.18 (2H, s); 7.30 (5H, s); 7.37 (5H, s). 13 C-NMR (CDC1₃) selected data: δ :16.0, 16.4, 30.1,

32.1, 44.4, 56.5, 67.2, 80.6, 92.4, 154.2, 208.2. (Found C, 72.50; H, 7.18; N, 3.73; $C_{23}H_{27}NO_{4}$ requires C, 72.42; H, 7.13; N, 3.67).

 $\underline{8b}$: Flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 85/15). $L\lambda_{10}^{25}$ =-63.8⁰ (c=0.78; CHCl₃). IR $(CHCl₃)$ \hat{v} : 1700, 1605, 1495, 1410, 1355, 1350 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR $(CDC1₃)\delta$: 0.80 (3H, d, $J=7.1$ Hz); 0.82 (3H, t, J=4.0 Hz); 1.10-1.80 (6H, m); 2.10 (3H, s); 2.15-3.05 (3H, m); 4.29 (1H, dq, J=5.9, 7.1 Hz); 5.00 (1H, d, J=5.9 Hz); 5.17 (2H, s); 5.22 (1H, d, J=2.4 Hz); 7.30 (5H, s); 7.36 (5H, s). 13 C-NMR (CDC1₃) selected data: δ : 14.0, 16.5, 22.8, 29.3, 30.0, 30.9, 36.0, 43.2, 56.4, 67.2, 80.5, 90.9, 154.2, 208.3. (Found C,73.79; H,7.89; N,3.39; C₂₆H₃₃NO₄ requires C,73.73; H,7.85; N,3.31.

Transformation of 7a, b in 8a, b

Ba, b were obtained from 7a, b as reported above for oxazolidine 6 from 5.

Transformation of 7a.b in 9a.b

A solution of aldehyde 7a,b (1 mmol) in dioxane-water (1:1; 5 ml) was treated with AgNO₃ (2.0 mmol) and 7N KOH solution (1.7 ml).
After stirring for 2h, the reaction mixture was acidified to pH=3 with 4N HCl solution, c

Synthesis of oxazolidine 10a, b

Aldehyde 7a,b (1 mmol) dissolved in MeOH (3 ml) was added to NaBH₄ (1 mmol) in MeOH (3 ml) at O^OC. After 20 min stirring, the mixture was acidified to pH=3 with 1N
HCl, concentrated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The pufified by flash chromatography. 10a: Flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 80/20). (88% overall yield). ¹H-NMR $(CDC1₃):$ δ : 0.98 (3H, d, J=5.3 Hz); 1.26 (3H, d, J=5.4 Hz); 1.50-2.30 (2H, m); 2.40-2.80 (1H, m); 3.50-3.90 (2H, m); 4.30-4.55 (1H, m); 4.70 (2H, s); 5.15 (1H, d, J=5.2 Hz); 5.26 (1H, d, J=2.7 Hz); 5.31 (2H, s); 7.20-7.40 (15H, m). (Found C.75 70; H.7.19; N.3.09; C₂₉H₃₃O₄N requires C.75.79; H.7.24; N.3.05). 10b: Flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 83/17). (80% overall yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₂), $0.75-1.05$ (6H, m); 1.15-2.50 (9H, m); 3.30-3.70 (2H, m); 4.15-4.50 (1H, m); 4.50 (2H, s); 5.04 (1H, d, J=4.3 Hz); 5.17 (2H, s); 5.25 (1H, d, J=1.7 Hz); 7.35 (15H, s). (Found C.76.56; H.7.78; N.2.83; C₃₂H₃₉0₄N requires C.76.61; H.7.84; N.2.79).

Synthesis of dithiolanes lla.b

A solution of oxazolidine 10a,b (1 mmol) in dry CH₂C1₂ (8 ml), was treated with 1,2
ethanedithiol (10 mmol) and BF₃.Et₂0 (0.4 mmol). The feaction was allowed to stand
for 24 hours at room temperature. The mixture chromatography. lla: Flash chromatography (petrolether/Et₂0 90/10). (87% yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.05 (3H, d, J=7.7 Hz); 1.40-2.10 (3H,m); 3.19 (4H,s); 3.40-3.75 (2H,m); 4.49 (2H,s); 4.57 (1H, d, J=5.8 Hz); 7.30 (5H,s). (Found C,62.71; H,7.57; 3,23.93; $C_{14}H_{20}$ 0S₂ requires C,62.64; H,7.51; S,23.89). 11b: Flash chromatography (hexane/Et₂0 97/3). (95% yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₂) δ : $0.821-0.91$ (3H, m); 1.22-2.00 (9H, m); 3.10-3.28 (4H, m); 3.49-3.58 (2H, m); 4.43-4.58 (2H, m); 4.72 (1H, d, J=5.2 Hz); 7.25-7.35 (5H, m). (Found C,65.68; H,8.37; S,20.60; C₁₇H₂₆0S₂ requires C,65.76; H,8.44; S,20.65).

Synthesis of $(S)-2-$ methyl-4-benzyloxybutanal 12a and $(S)-2-n$ butyl-4-benzyloxybutanal 12b

A solution of the dithiolane lla,b (1 mmol) in acetone/water 4/1 (2 ml) was treated with CaCO (3 arol) and Me1 (10 mu011 and stirred **for** 12 **hours at 6@C.** The resulting mixture was filtered on a celite pad and the filtrate was washed with a 5 M AcONH_a solution, then with brine; dried and the solvent evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography.
<u>12a</u>: Flash chromatography (petrolether/Et₂0 83/17). (90% yield). [d J_D⁵ =+15.6⁰ (c=1.00; CHC1₃)(1it.^{12a} Cd, $3_0^{\prime\prime}$ =+15.8^o(c=3.01; CHC1₃)). IR (CHC1₃) γ : 1725, 1450, 1360, 1095 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDC1₃) δ : 1.10 (3H, d, J=7.1 Hz); 1.60-2.20 (2H,m); $2.45-2.62$ (1H, m); $3.47-3.58$ (2H, m); 4.47 (2H, s); 7.30 (5H, s); 9.65 (1H, d, J=2.0 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDC1₃)d: 13.3; 30.8; 43.7; 67.4; 73.0; 127.6; 128.4; 138.2; 204.6. (Found C,74.91; H,8.33; $C_{12}H_{16}O_2$ requires C,74.97; H,8.39). 12b: Flash chromatography (petrolether/Et₂0 95/5). (87% yield). L_a 3_0^{25} = -2.6⁰ (c=1.10; CHC1₃). IR (CHC1₃) $\sqrt{$: 1723, 1602, 1452, 1364 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR CDC1₃) δ : 0.84-0.91 (3H,m); 1.37-2.19 (8H, m); 2.34-2.48 (1H, m); 3.40-3.54 (2H, m); 4.46 (2H, s); 7.22-7.48 (5H, m); 9.58 (1H, d, J=2.5 Hz). 13 C-NMR (CDC1₂) δ : 13.9; 22.8; 28.6; 29.2; 29.4;49.4; 67.9; 73.1; 127.7; 128.4: 138.3; 204.8. (Found C.76.95; H, 9.51; $C_{15}H_{22}O_2$ requires C, 76.88; H, 9.46).

Synthesis of bis-dithiolanes 13a.b

13a,b was obtained from 8a,b as reported for lla,b, with a longer reaction time $(36h)$.

13a: Flash chromatography (petrolether/Et₂0 90/10). (88% yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.22 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz); 1.78 (3H, s); 1.75-2.48 (3H, m); 3.19 (4H, s); 3.33 (4H, s); 4.73 (lH, d, J=4.0 Hz). (Found C, 45.09; H, 6.83; S, 48.15; $C_{10}H_{18}S_4$ requires C,45.07; H,6.81; 5,48.12).

13b: Flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 97/3). (90% yield). 1 H-NMR (CDC1₃) δ : 0.70-1.80 (9H, m); 1.77 (3H, s); 1.80-2.44 (3H, m); 3.17 (4H, s); 3.33 (4H, s); 4.98 (1H, d, J=2.7 Hz). (Found C, 50.65; H, 7.89; S, 41.6; $C_{1,3}H_{2,4}S_4$ requires C, 50.6; H,7.84; S,41.6).

Synthesis of (S) -2-methyl-4-oxopentanal 14a and (S) -2-n-butyl-4-oxopentanal 14b

The bis-dithiolane 13a,b was hydrolized as reported for lla,b, with a longer reaction time (24 h). 14a: Flash chromatography (petrolether/Et₂0 1/1). (95% yield). L_ad 1_0^2 = -40.8[°] $i(c=1.00, CHCl₃)$. IR $(CHCl₃)$ $\sqrt{.1725, 1710, 1360 cm⁻¹}$. $H-MMR$ $(CDC1₃)$ $\sqrt{.177 \cdot 0.17 \cdot$ J=7.4 Hz); 2.19 (3H, s); 2.30-3.00 (2H, m); 5.07 (1H, m); 9.68(1H, s). ¹³C-NMR $(CDC1₃) δ : 13.5; 30.1; 41.6; 44.0; 203.1; 206.2. (Found C,62.9; H,8.78; C₆H₁₀O₂$ requires C,63.14; H,8.83).

14b: Flash chromatography (petrolether/Et₂0 75/25). (92% yield). [d 1² * -96.4⁰ (c=1.18, CHC1₃). IR (CHC1₃) $\sqrt{1720}$, 1715, 1365 cm⁻¹. 'H-NMR (CDC1₃) $\frac{1}{2}$: 0.70-1.00 (3H, m); 1.05-1.60 (6H, m); 2.18 (3H, s); 2.25-3.05 (3H, m); 9.68 (3H, m), 13 C-NMR $(CDC1₃)$ δ : 13.8, 22.7, 28.3, 29.1, 30.1, 42.2, 46.8, 203.5, 206.8.(Found C,69.23; H,10.32; $C_9H_{16}O_2$ requires C,69.19; H,10.32).

Synthesis of Mosher's ester 15.

Aldehyde 12b (46 mg, 0.197 mmol) dissolved in MeOH (2 ml) was added to NaBH, in MeOH (2 ml) at 0^0 C. After 30 min stirring the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and acidified to pH=3 with 0.1 N HCl. After CH_Cl_ extraction. the organic layer was dried and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product and Mosher's chloride $(55 \text{ mg}, 0.217 \text{ mmol})$ were mixed with carbon tetrachloride (0.5 ml) **and dry pyridine [7 drops).** After **30 min. water (1** al) tetrachioride (0.5 ml) and dry pyridine (7 drops). After 30 min, water (1 ml) was
added and the reaction mixture extracted with ether. The ether solution, after

washing successively with dilute hydrochloric acid, saturated sodium carbonate solution, and water, was dried and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure.
The grude product was analyzed by H - and F -NMR spectroscopy.
151 H-NMR (CDC1₃) \int :0.80-1.00 (3H, m); 1.10-2.00 (9H, m); 3.40 (2H, d 3.52 (3H, m); 4.25 (2H, d, J=6.0 Hz); 4.44 (2H, s); 7.10-7.50 (10H,m).

NOTES AND REFERENCES

- 1. Bernardi A.; Cardani S.; Poli G.; Scolastico C.; J.Org.Chem.1986,51, 5041.
-
- 2. Bernardi A.; Cardani S.; Pilati T.; Poli G.; Scolastico C.; Villa R.;
J.Org.Chem. 1988, 53,1600.
3. Nucleophylic epoxidation of λ , β unsaturated aldehyde type 5 was studied: Cardani S.; Bernardi A.; Colombo L.; Gennari C.; Scolastico C.; Venturini I.; Tetrahedron, in the press.
- 4. For the preparation of fumaraldehyde bisdimethylacetal and Amberlyst-15 hydrolysis, see: Gree R.; Toureah H., Carrie R.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4983.
- 5. Corey E.J.; Boaz N.W.; Tetrahedron lett. 1985, 26, 6015, 6019. b) Alexakis A.
Berlan J.; Besace Y.; <u>Ibid</u>. 1986, 27, 1047, and references therein.
c) Horiguchi Y.; Matsuzawa S.; Nakamura E.; Kuwajima I.; <u>Ibid</u>. 1986, 109, 7575.
6. The yields are 15-25% if TMSCl is omitted.
-
-
- 7. Corey E.J.; Boaz N.W.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3063.
8. a) Hallnemo G.; Olsson T.; Ullenius C.; <u>J.Organomet.Chem.</u> 1985, 282, 133.
b) Hallnemo G.; Ullenius C.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 395. c) Krauss S.R.;
mith.
-
-
-
- b) Hallnemo G.; Ullenius C.; <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 1986, 27, 395. c) Krauss S.R.;
Smith S.G.; <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc.</u> 1981, 103, 141.
9. We have already demonstrated (see ref. 2) that the selectivity is unaffected by
have already Ibid., 1986, 108, 4603 and references therein.